

FAO : The Planning Inspectorate

Our ref: PA01197065

By email; <a href="mailto:thamestunnel@planninginspectorate.gov.uk">thamestunnel@planninginspectorate.gov.uk</a> 22 September 2022

Dear Sir/Madam

## THAMES TIDEWAY TUNNEL: REF : Ref: 2530-TDWAY-TTTUN-990-ZZ-CO-700203

The Thames Water Utilities Limited (Thames Tideway Tunnel) Order (SI:2014/2384)1 Application for a non-material change in relation to the Victoria Embankment Foreshore (VCTEF) site.

We have been notified in respect of the proposed non-material amendment at the above site submitted to the Planning Inspectorate by Tideway. We are therefore writing to inform that decision. We are aware of the issues raised and have considered the proposals with Tideway (Liz Wood-Griffiths) as part of pre-submission discussions.

The amendment proposed seeks approval for:

the Tattershall Castle to remain moored in its current location at the junction of Victoria Embankment and Horse Guards Avenue;



Historic England, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Cannon Bridge House, 25 Dowgate Hill, London EC4R 2YA Telephone 020 7973 3700 Facsimile 020 7973 3001 HistoricEngland.org.uk Please note that Historic England operates an access to information policy.



Correspondence or information which you send us may therefore become publicly available.

and the permanent removal of the service mooring which was previously located to the east of the junction of Horse Guards Avenue and Victoria Embankment.

Having reviewed the submissions we do not consider that the proposed changes raise heritage issues of sufficient concern for Historic England to raise objection. While the reinstatement of the vessel in a position closer to its former mooring, as set out in the existing Order, was considered appropriate to reduce any visual impact on the listed RAF memorial and views from Horse Guards Avenue, the proposal must now be seen in the context of the construction of the Victoria Embankment Foreshore Site and the creation of this major new public viewing point. The applicant's Heritage Statement identifies that retention of the vessel in its current location as having negligible or only a minor adverse effect on significance and we would agree with this conclusion. On this basis we do not wish to raise any concerns in respect of the proposed amendment.

Should you require further clarification on any specific heritage concerns raised by the proposed change of works please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely



Richard Parish Historic Places Adviser (Lead Contact for the Thames Tideway Tunnel) London and South East Team



Historic England, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Cannon Bridge House, 25 Dowgate Hill, London EC4R 2YA Telephone 020 7973 3700 Facsimile 020 7973 3001 HistoricEngland.org.uk



Please note that Historic England operates an access to information policy. Correspondence or information which you send us may therefore become publicly available.